

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/612,222	. 07/03/2003	Masatoshi Akagawa	300.1119	5751
21171	7590 10/25/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			CHANG, RIC	CK KILTAE
	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20005		3729	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/612,222	AKAGAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Rick K. Chang	3729		

	Rick R. Chang	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) to with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	e of Appeal. To avoid aba , affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mandate (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFF tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailing.	unt of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Offi g date of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see w);	NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a			ule issues ioi
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	21 Coo ottocked blatics of blas	Consultant Assessment	(DTO) 004)
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	21. See allached Notice of Non	-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		ate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	□ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing and sufficient reasons why the affi	a Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> davit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap y and was not earlier presented	opeal and/or appellant fai . See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims afte	er entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowar	nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☑ Other: the examiner maintains his rejections. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		1
		RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINI	ER
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Referent	the Eiline of an Annual Brief	PRIMARY EXCHANGE	N- 20064024

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)